SEED DISPERSAL OF THE GENUS *LEEA* IN FOREST PATCHES OF BATAAN, PHILIPPINES

Will Townes

Department of Biology, Washington & Lee University Current address: 5450 High Rock Road, Boomer, North Carolina 28606

Keywords: birds, Philippines, secondary forests, seed dispersal, Southeast Asia.

INTRODUCTION

The tropical forests of the Philippines have been identified as some of the most biologically diverse and threatened in the world (Myers et al. 2000, Laurance 2007). While most of the plant diversity in the Philippines is concentrated in the few remaining primary forests (Langenberger et al. 2006), a small but significant flora persists in secondary forest patches, known as parang (Ashton 1997, LaFrankie, pers. comm.). Animal-mediated seed dispersal is an important aspect of these ecosystems in the Philippines (Hamann & Curio 1999, Corlett & Hau 2000, Ingle 2003, Gonzalez et al. 2009) and elsewhere in the tropics (Janzen 1983, Whittaker & Jones 1994, Weir & Corlett 2007), especially where remnant forest patches are surrounded by degraded habitat (Ingle 2003, Weir & Corlett 2007). The microphanerophytic genus Leea (Leeaceae) includes two species representative of both lowland primary forest understory (Co et al. 2004, Langenberger et al. 2006) and secondary forest patches in the Philippines: L. guineensis (G. Don) and L. indica (Merr.) (Ridsdale 1974). Molina (2009) documented their pollination, and Schabacker & Curio (2000) observed one bird species (the bulbul *Hypsipetes philippinus* Forster) feeding on the fruits. The present study examines Leea seed dispersal in greater detail.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Leea trees were located along two intermittent streams near the Kanawan Aeta community, Morong, Bataan

at 150 m elevation (14.715°N, 120.333°E). The regional climate is Type I, with maximum rainfall of about 400 mm in July, and almost none in December-April (Pajuelas 2000). Temperatures range from a monthly mean of 23.3°C in December to 28.6°C during April. Average annual relative humidity is 78% (Bustos et al. 1997). Fruit counts on each of twelve Leea trees were conducted on 13 and 23 October, 3 and 15 November, 8 and 11 December, and 15 January (2007-08, during the dry season). This quantified the rate at which dispersers were removing the fruits. Direct observations (naked eye) of probable dispersal agents were conducted during early morning (3.5 hours), midday (4.5 hours), afternoon (3 hours), and dusk (1.5 hours) for most of the trees from a distance of 25 m. The average and minimum distances between trees were about 200 m and 10 m. Birds were identified using Kennedy et al. (2000). Identification of animals observed at Leea trees by local people (Aeta) were verified by showing them pictures from guidebooks. Additional information on the local names used for particular species came from U. Ferreras (pers. comm.). Leea fruits are 8-mm berries with 2-3 seeds. A fluorescent dye technique was used to test how far dispersers moved the Leea seeds before dropping them. "Orange Yellow" No. PS32 fluorescent powder (Radiant Color, Inc.) was dissolved in acetone to a ratio of 15:100 by volume. This was sprayed onto the Leea infructescences. An ultraviolet lamp was used to search for defecated seeds and pulp on the forest floor (Reiter et al. 2006). UV monitoring was completed by 17 November 2007. To test for bat dispersal, six of the trees were sprayed just before dusk on 3 November 2007, and

a 20-m radius around each tree was monitored before dawn the next morning. The remaining six trees were sprayed on 4 November 2007. Sixteen transects of 2 x 50m, as well as three shorter ones (due to steep terrain), were monitored with the UV lamp in dense Lantana spp. (Verbenaceae) thickets adjacent to the trees (a total of 1780 m²). Secondary dispersal was examined by placing three fruit piles on sandy soil and three under vegetation, with a distance of >10 m between each pile. Both the vegetation and sandy piles had four, eight, and 16 fruits present. The piles were established at 10:00 h on 8 December 2007. Observations of all piles were conducted 8, 23, 31, 55, 70, 80, and 97 hours after establishing the piles. To test if seeds germinate more effectively after removal from pulp (Samuels & Levey 2005), 30 seeds (12 fruits) were planted in each of six pots with wire exclosures and checked after 32 days. Each pot had 12 holes of depth 2.5 cm (equivalent of one fruit per hole). The 15-cm diameter pots were filled with sandy loam soil from the study site to a depth of 10 cm. Three of the pots were placed in sun and three in shade. Both groups included three treatments: mechanically scarified seeds, normal seeds separated from fruit pulp, and intact fruits.

RESULTS

None of the 12 fruiting *Leea* trees monitored were flowering, preventing species identification. However, habitat (and a local botanist) suggested they were likely *Leea guineensis* (Ferreras, pers. comm.). Tree heights were approximately three to six meters. Thirteen infructescences with 35-420 fruits each were monitored. Total fruit count (f) declined exponentially $(r^2 = 0.9928)$ over a three-month observation period (t):

$$f = 1507.9e^{(-0.0285 t)}$$

Philippine Bulbuls (Hypsipetes philippinus Forster) fed on about 10-15 whole fruits twice without dropping any. The bulbuls flew in pairs and fed in the morning, spending only 10-20 seconds on each infructescence and calling loudly. Besides these, the frugivorous birds Phapitreron leucotis (Temminck), Pycnonotus goiavier (Scopoli), and Lanius schach (L.) were frequently seen near the Leea trees. We also observed small bats and the monkey Macaca fascicularis (Raffles); however none of the mammals was seen directly feeding on the fruits.

During the 15 days of UV-lamp monitoring, 349 fruits were removed from trees near the 19 transects (covering 1780 m²) but no fruits or seeds were recovered using the fluorescent dye technique. Examination of fruits still on the tree revealed the dye's fluorescence to persist for several months despite exposure to weather, and the dye did not alter fruit attractiveness to dispersers because the removal rate was consistent before and after spraying. If putative dispersers defecated or regurgitated fruits uniformly within 50 m of each tree, the fruit density would have been 0.00889 fruits/m², and one fruit would be expected for every 113 m² searched. Since 1780 m² was searched, about 16 fruits were expected, or more if the seed shadow conformed to Willson & Traveset's canonical distribution (2001), because the density of seeds would diminish with distance from the source tree and our transects oversampled the area near each tree. During the 97-hour secondary dispersal experiment, only three fruits were removed from the six different piles. All of these were removed from the vegetation pile where n = four fruits. One fruit was removed after 23 hours, another after 55 hours, and the final one after 97 hours. Of the approximately 180 seeds in the germination experiment, only three sprouts penetrated the surface of the soil after 34 days. Two sprouts in the scarified, shade treatment reached lengths of 3.0 cm and 5.2 cm root-to-node, and one sprout in the unscarified, shade treatment (skin and pulp removed) reached a length of 4.9 cm root-to-node.

DISCUSSION

Hypsipetes philippinus alone was observed feeding on Leea fruits. Few fruits remained on trees or nearby to rot. Since the dye technique failed to detect propagules within a 50-m radius of source trees, dispersal may be medium to long. Further, the bulbul's mean gut passage time (GPT) for Leea aculeata (Blume) seeds is 9.5 minutes (Schabacker & Curio 2000). Future studies could compare H. philippinus to Pycnonotus sinensis (Gmelin) and P. jocosus (L.), which disperse seeds across open areas wider than 1 km from forest patches in the Hong Kong hills (Weir & Corlett 2007). The bulbul may similarly spread Leea trees between isolated forest patches. We found no direct evidence for mammals feeding on Leea, but people from the local Aeta community claim that the monkey Macaca fascicularis (Raffles), two civets, Paradoxurus hermaphroditus (Pallas) and Viverra tangalunga (Gray), and also small bats consume Leea

fruits (pers. comm.). Reiter et al. (2006) suggest fruit bats such as Ptenochirus jagori (Peters) leave masses of seeds and pulp below roosts within 50 m of sources, making them unlikely candidates given that no such piles were found. Ingle (2003) found fewer cases of bat dispersal (relative to birds) in Mindanao. Future studies could directly test seed dispersal by bats, including Cynopterus brachyotis as well as P. jagori (Hamann & Curio 1999). Ground-dwelling mammals may nominally feed on Leea fruits, but according to Reiter et al. (2006), P. hermaphroditus always drops Ficus fruit remnants near the parent tree, which implies that we would have observed such fragments using the fluorescent dye technique. Hamann & Curio (1999) observed M. fascicularis feeding only in primary forests. We detected none of these. Finally, barbed calcium oxalate raphides in Leea may deter mammals, which would help to explain why very few fruits were removed from piles placed on the ground (Ward et al. 1997, Wen 2007). Methodological improvements could include the use of camera traps rather than direct observation, capturing potential dispersers and comparing fruit choice between Leea and other species, and comparing defecated Leea seed germination to whole fruits. The low germination rates might have been caused by planting the fruits at excessive depth. Finally, due to monitoring difficulties, the dye technique may be useful only when dispersal distance is short, or when fruits are large and abundant (Levey & Sargent 2000, Reiter et al. 2006).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am very grateful to the Kanawan Aeta community, the University of the Philippines Institute of Biology, Leonardo Co, John Knox, Daniel Lagunzad, Perry Ong, Ulysses Ferreras, Lourdes Cruz, Sonia Jacinto, Carmela Española, Lillian Rodriguez, Ramon Bandong, Lorie Tongco, Borromeo Motin, the Bataan Center for Science and Technology Innovation, and Bataan Technology Park. A Fulbright Fellowship, administered by the Philippine-American Educational Foundation, funded the study.

REFERENCES

- Ashton, P.S. 1997. Before the memory fades: some notes on the indigenous forests of the Philippines. Sandakania 9: 1–19.
- Bustos, M.D.G., Saul, A., Salazar, N.P., & M. Gomes. 1997.Profile of Morong, Bataan, an area of low malaria endemicity in the Philippines. Acta Tropica 63: 195–207.

- Co, L.L., Lagunzad, D.A., LaFrankie, J.V., Bartolome, N.A., Molina, J.E., Yap, S.L., Garcia, H.G., Bautista, J.P., Gumpal, E.C., Arano, R.R., & S.J. Davies. 2004. Palanan forest dynamics plot, Philippines. Pp. 574–584 in Losos, E.C., & E.G. Leigh (eds.). Tropical forest diversity and dynamism. UPLB, Laguna, Philippines.
- Corlett, R.T., & B.C.H. Hau. 2000. Seed dispersal and forest restoration. Pp. 317–325 in Elliott, S., Kerby, J., Blakesley, D., Hardwick, K., Woods, K., & V. Anusarnsunthorn (eds.). Forest restoration for wildlife conservation., International Tropical Timber Organization and The Forest Restoration Research, Chiang Mai University, Thailand.
- Gonzalez, R.S., Ingle, N.R., Lagunzad, D.A., & T. Naka-shizuka. 2009. Seed dispersal by birds and bats in a low-land Philippine forest successional area. Biotropica 41: 452–458.
- Hamann, A., & E. Curio. 1999. Interactions among frugivores and fleshy fruit trees in a Philippine submontane rainforest. Conservation Biology 13: 766–773.
- Ingle, N.R. 2003. Seed dispersal by wind, birds, and bats between Philippine montane rainforest and successional vegetation. Oecologia 134: 251–261.
- Janzen, D.H. 1983. Food webs: who eats what, why, how, and with what effects in a tropical forest? Pp.167–182 in Colley, F.B. (ed.). Tropical rain forest ecosystems. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Kennedy, R.S., Gonzales, P.C., Dickinson, E.C., Miranda, H.C., & T.H. Fisher. 2000. A guide to the birds of the Philippines. Oxford UP, Oxford, UK.
- Langenberger, G., Martin, K., & J. Sauerborn. 2006. Vascular plant species inventory of a Philippine lowland rain forest and its conservation value. Biodiversity and Conservation 15: 1271–1301.
- Laurance, W.F. 2007. Forest destruction in tropical Asia. Current Science 93: 1544–1550.
- Levey, D.J., & S. Sargent. 2000. A simple method for tracking vertebrate-dispersed seeds. Ecology 81: 267–274.
- Molina, J. 2009. Floral biology of Philippine morphospecies of the grape relative *Leea* (Leeaceae). Plant Species Biology 24: 53–60.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., Da Fonseca, G.A.B., & J. Kent. 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403: 853–858.
- Pajuelas, B.G. 2000. A study of rainfall variations in the Philippines: 1950–1996. Science Diliman 12: 1–28.
- Reiter, J., Curio, E., Tacud, B., Urbina, H., & F. Geronimo. 2006. Tracking bat-dispersed seeds using fluorescent pigment. Biotropica 38: 64–68.
- Ridsdale, C.E. 1974. A revision of the family Leeaceae. Blumea 22: 57–100.
- Samuels, I.A., & D.J. Levey. 2005. Effects of gut passage on seed germination: do experiments answer the questions they ask? Functional Ecology 19: 365–368.

- Schabacker, J., & E. Curio. 2000. Fruit characteristics as determinants of gut passage in a bulbul (*Hypsipetes philippinus*). Ecotropica 6: 157–168.
- Ward, D., Spiegel, M., & D. Saltz. 1997. Gazelle herbivory and interpopulation differences in calcium oxalate content of leaves of a desert lily. Journal of Chemical Ecology 23: 333–346.
- Weir, J.E.S., & R.T. Corlett. 2007. How far do birds disperse seeds in the degraded tropical landscape of Hong Kong, China? Landscape Ecology 22: 131–140.
- Wen, J. 2007. Leeaceae. Pp. 221–225 *in* Kubitzki, K. (ed.). The families and genera of vascular plants. Vol. IX: Flowering plants: eudicots. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Whittaker, R.J., & S.H. Jones. The role of frugivorous bats and birds in the rebuilding of a tropical forest ecosystem, Krakatau, Indonesia. Journal of Biogeography 21: 245–258.
- Willson, M., & A. Traveset. 2001. The ecology of seed dispersal. Pp. 85–110 *in* Fenner, M. (ed.). Seeds: the ecology of regeneration in plant communities (2nd ed.). CAB International, Wallingford, UK.